

### United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/24/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/788,414	03/01/2004	Chad A. Mirkin	083847-0235	4969
22428 7590 08/24/2006		EXAMINER		
FOLEY AND LARDNER LLP SUITE 500 3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007			LUM, LEON YUN BON	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1641	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/788,414	MIRKIN ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Leon Y. Lum	1641		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING (Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the mail term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tte. cause the application to become ARANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35.U.S.C. 8.133)		
Status					
1)⊠ 2a)□ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 to This action is FINAL. 2b) The Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro			
Dienosit	on of Claims				
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)□ 7)□ 8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-140 is/are pending in the applicati 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-140 are subject to restriction and/a con Papers	awn from consideration.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	·				
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examin The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the $R$ e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objection	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachmen	:(s)		÷		
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	(PTO-413) ate ratent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/788,414

Art Unit: 1641

**DETAILED ACTION** 

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicants' representatives called the Examiner to point out that the species

election requirement mailed on May 4, 2006 did not include claims 100-140. The

Examiner apologizes for the mistake and directs Applicants to the complete species

election requirement below. The prior Office Action has therefore been vacated in lieu

of the instant Office Action.

2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct

species: Group A (type of improvement), Group B (type of modification), Group C (type

of chemical agent), Group D (type of tip), Group E (type of pattern), Group F (type of

peptide or protein), Group G (type of additive), and Group H (type of analyzing). For

each of Groups A-G, an election of one species must be made.

Group A: type of improvement (elect one of the following)

i. Reproducibility, claims 2 and 42.

ii. Scan speed, claims 3 and 42.

iii. Resolution, claims 4 and 43.

Group B: type of modification (elect one of the following)

i. Inhibit protein adsorption, claims 5, 37-39, 44, and 84-99.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/788,414 Page 3

Art Unit: 1641

ii. Reduce the activation energy, claims 6 and 45.

### Group C: type of chemical agent (elect one of the following)

- i. Low molecular weight polyalkylene glycol compounds, claims 7 and 46.
- ii. Silane compounds, claims 8 and 47.
- iii. Electrostatically charged, claims 9, 20, 37-39, 58, and 84-89.
- iv. Negatively charged and forms a self-assembled monolayer, claims 10-13 and 48-51.

In the event that either Groups i-ii are elected, the following sub-species election must also be made (elect one of the following):

- i. Covalently bond to the protein, claims 18 and 56.
- ii. Chemisorb to the protein, claims 19 and 57.

#### Group D: type of tip (elect one of the following)

- i. Scanning probe nanoscopic tip, claims 14, 52, and 84-86.
- ii. AFM tip, claims 15, 37-39, 53, and 87-99.
- iii. Hollow tip, claims 16 and 54.

#### Group E: type of pattern (elect one of the following)

- i. Dot, claims 21, 59, 92, 115, 118-119, and 123-138.
- ii. Line, claims 22, 60, 93, and 116.

Application/Control Number: 10/788,414 Page 4

Art Unit: 1641

## Group F: type of peptide/protein (elect one of the following)

- i. Simple protein, claims 28 and 110.
- ii. Conjugated protein, claim 29.
- iii. Globular protein, claims 30 and 76.
- iv. Fibrous protein, claims 31 and 77.
- v. Enzyme, claims 32 and 78.
- vi. Viral protein, claims 33 and 79.
- vii. Simple peptide, claims 66 and 111.
- viii. Complex peptide, claim 67.
- ix. Oligopeptide, claims 69 and 86.
- x. Polypeptide, claim 70.
- xi. Multiple polypeptide chains, claim 73.
- xii. Ten or less peptide bonds, claim 74.
- xiii. At least 100 peptide bonds, claim 75.
- xiv. Antibody, claim 80.

## Group G: type of additive (elect one of the following)

- i. Improves application of the tip, claim 81.
- ii. Improves peptide deposition, claim 81.
- iii. Improves retention of peptide biological activity, claim 81.

# Group H: type of analysis (elect one of the following)

i. Nanoparticle labels, claim 103.

- ii. Atomic force microscope, claim 104.
- 3. The species are independent or distinct because each species within each of Groups A-G are mutually exclusive to the other species in the group.

In Group A, each of the three species are independent types of improvements. The method of "reproducibility", "scan speed", and "resolution" are all directed towards different operative functions of the claimed chemical species, and do not have overlapping subject matter.

In Group B, each of the two species are functional limitations of the claimed tip, and are directed towards two independent capabilities. A tip which is modified to inhibit protein adsorption encompasses different subject matter from a tip which is modified to reduce protein transport. The former tip narrowly claims the complete prevention of protein adsorption, whereas the later tip claims a more broad limitation of protein reduction and also a narrower limitation of protein transport from tip to surface. The only overlapping subject matter between the two species is a modified tip. However, the scope of the claims is directed towards the modification technique and not the tip itself. Therefore, the two species are independent of each other.

Groups C-F each comprise a multitude of product species that differ in chemical and structural makeup. Not being variants of one another, the scope of the claims within each Group does not overlap and therefore, the species are considered to be distinct from one another.

Art Unit: 1641

In Group G, the same justification for requiring a species election in Group B can be made in the instant group. Each of the species claims a completely different method for using the claimed tip. The first species "application to the tip" (i.e. application to the tip) is a divergently different method from the other two species. For example, "application to the tip" contains the scope of tip modification, whereas the "improves peptide deposition" of the second species contains the method step using the tip. The former species is directed to placing something on the tip, whereas the latter species is directed to transferring something off the tip. The species in the instant Group therefore are independent of each other.

4. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 17, 23-27, 61-65, 68, 71-72, 82-83, 100-102, 105-109, 112-114, 117, 120-122, and 139-140 are generic. Claims 2-16, 18-22, 28-60, 66-67, 69-70, 73-81, 84-99, 103-104, 110-111, 115-116, 118-119, and 123-138 are subject to species election.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Art Unit: 1641

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

5. A telephone call was made to Alexey Saprigin on April 19, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

6. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions

Application/Control Number: 10/788,414

Art Unit: 1641

unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection

under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leon Y. Lum whose telephone number is (571) 272-2878. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 8:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long Le can be reached on (571) 272-0823. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 8

Art Unit: 1641

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Leon Y. Lum Patent Examiner Art Unit 1641

LONG V. LE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

LYL